com of cuba to the boviet bloc

nokernund

The itemised cost of Cuba to the Soviet bloc is the following:

Estimated Cost Of Communist Bloc Aid to Cuba

1960-1962

Type of Ald		Amount	(million US
Project and technical assists	race	60	
Commercial trade credits		300	•
Suger premiums		250	• :
Militery assistances	nors then	500	
Total ,	sore than	1,100 **	•

* Does not include the cost of equipment or personnel. introduced into Cuba and later removed. *** More than \$1 billion of which has come from the USSR.

Project Aid

Castro's government has been extended \$469 million in long-term credits by the bloc. According to Cuban elidas, more than 200 factories or other installations are to be built with blue assistance. Projects to be underwritten by the USR include a steel mill; a nickel plant, and on oil refinery. In edition, in 1962 the Soviet Union undertook to aid the Cubes fishing industry by supplying five fishing traviers and agreeing to construct a fishing port in Havena Boy. Among the plants promised by the European satellites are factories for the production of textiles, metal products, refrigerators, peneils and kitchemwares, and several rower plants. Communist China in to esaist in establishing form stations as well as projects for manifecturing. While several surveys and other exploratory studies by blos specialists have been completed and nemy of the bloc projects are unless way, only a few small projects have been finished.

Technical Aid

Bloc seciatance has been instrumental in other ways, however, in providing assistance for the Cuben economy. They of the 2,000 or more bloc technical personnel have been employed in existing factories and plants, filling part of the gro created by the departures of the supervictry, technical, and administrative personal who had been ruming these establishments.

Trade Credits

The most important form of assistance currently being extended to Cuba by the bloc is represented by the large import surplus which Cuba is raming in its trade with bloc countries, particularly the UESR. This import balance, which probably exceeded \$200 million in 1962, in part represents a form of medium-term credit extended to Cuba.

Swar Prestuis

the Soviet bloc agreed to pay a price of about four cents per pound. The world price of sugar ima been about 2.5 cents per pound during most of the ensuing period, with the Soviet bloc paying Cuba a premium of the 1.5 cent difference. The premium price has, in fact, been paid for most, but not all, of the bloc purchases of this sugar. The USE, moreover, agreed to pay in convertible currencies one-fourth of the total charges for its principal contracts for Cuban sugar. Consequently, a major part of its premium payments have been used in convertible currencies.

Military Aid

Estimates of the cost of bloc military support for Cubs include the (1) cost of equipment turned over to Cubs, (2) charges for training of Cubsn personnel — both inside and outside Cubs —, (3) cost of equipment held by Soviet units in Cubs, and (4) the cost of maintaining Soviet forces in Cubs. Transportation costs are also included. The estimates are minimal.

Aid Fulfillments

Actual bloc cutlays on project and technical assistance to date smount to an estimated \$60 million. Commercial trade credits so for total an estimated \$300 million. If to these figures are added estimated promises on sugar purchases (\$250 million), aggregate economic aid (excluding all forms of military assistance) has amounted to an estimated \$610 million. Military aid so for has amounted to more than \$500 million, not including material brought into Cube and later removed.

Uneconomic Trade and Transport

Despite complicated internal adjustments that are intended to minimize the losses in the sugar trade, the Soviet bloc has not been able to effect entirely the costs of buying Caben sugar in such large volumes. Production plus interts of sugar in the bloc have rises by about 4 million tone emandly, or about 40 percent. Exports have been increased by about 500 thousand to 1 million tone emanally and sugar best production for folder use has been increased. These adjustments have provided the Soviet bloc

with convertible currencies and have alleviated in some measure serious problems in liventock feeding. The added augus availabilities also have enabled the Communist bloc to raise sugar allotments to their long suffering consummer. These are moderating considerations; they do not entirely dispel the strong suspicion that importing so large a volume of super from Cuba has been most costly to the Soviet bloc.

Transferring perchant vessels of the Soviet bloc to the Cuban run has been a cost only in terms of managerial afficiency. Ships chartered from the free world to use on routes where the Soviet bloc ships being diverted to Cuban traffic had previously been used. Rates have not risen, for the world shipping industry has considerable excess capacity. Total requirements for free world charters by the bloc have not increased. But the Soviet bloc now has less flexibility in employing its everall shipping svailabilities — flag vassels plus charters — and must be experiencing added costs in terms of loading delays, cross-hauling, partially loaded ships, and trips in ballast. A major factor in the stopped up effort to enlarge the servicent series of the Soviet bloc is the desire to countersot inefficiencies of these types.

US Policy

US policy measures to increase the cost of Cuba to the bloc includes (1) a section, 107, in the Foreign Aid Act of 1962 prohibiting US wid to countries providing chinging or giving economic or military assistance to Cuba.

(2) a National Security Council Directive barring ships engaged in Cuban trade from earrying cargoes financed or sponsored by the US Government.

(3) diplomatic approaches to free world governments with the objective of obtaining a voluntary plant-down on shipping for as well as trade with Cuba.

THE COST OF CUBA TO THE SOVIET BLOC

Cuba has already cost the bloc more than \$1.1 billion for economic and military aid. An additional incalculable amount was lost to the Soviets in the missile buildup and subsequent withdrawal. The Soviet bloc, moreover, has absorbed large quantities of Cuban sugar and has had to transfer some of its merchant shipping from other uses to Cuban routes; both adjustments, though not measurable in monetary terms, have been costly to the Soviet bloc.

The policy of the US has been to add to these costs whenever possible in order to make continued Soviet presence less attractive. Specific measures to this end have included (1) steps to deny free world charters to Soviet bloc and Cuban shippers and (2) efforts to isolate the Cuban economy from the free world and thus make it heavily dependent on the Soviet bloc. For the most part, however, the costly support of the Soviet bloc has been necessitated by the bad management of Cuban economic planners.